

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,445	07/25/2006	Dieter Ramsauer	135408-2035	5304
20999 20182011 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE - 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			EXAM	IINER
			MORGAN, EMILY M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/587,445	RAMSAUER, DIETER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EMILY MORGAN	3677		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for	or Reply
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Tabora of time may be available under the provisions of \$7 OFF 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The open of the provision of \$7 OFF 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed SX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The open of the provision of the provision of \$7 OFF 1.138(a). In the provision of \$7 OFF 1.138(a) and \$7 OF
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2010.
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 30-36.38 and 40-58 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 32.33.38.40.41.43-52 and 55-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are 42.53 and 54 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
10)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2010</u> is/are: a ⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) 🔲 a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some * c None of:
Attachmen	
1) Notic	n of Finferences Gited (FTC-532) 4). Uniterview Summary (FTC-413)

711		

Notice of Finferences Cited (PTO-592)	4) Interview Summary (FT5-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 30-31, 34-36, 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over patent 6286185 to Ramsauer, in view of patent 1538320 to Gullong, in further view of patent 3583736 to Willimzik.

Regarding claim 30, Ramsauer discloses:

A hinge (figure 1) comprising: at least one hinge part 12 which can be mounted in an opening in a thin wall such as a sheet-metal cabinet door (abstract); a head part, such as a flange or hinge leaf (hinge part 12 is a hinge leaf, column 4, line 66), which overlaps a rim of the opening of the thin wall on an outer side (figures 24, 11,22); a body part 240 (figures 11, 14) which proceeds from the head part (or leaf, figure 11) and can be pushed through the opening in the thin wall 160 (figure 11); and a holding part (nut 280) which is carried by the body part 240 (figure 11), supported on another rear side of the thin wall by article 280 (figure 11), and is separate from the body part 240, shown alone in figure 14. Ramsauer does not disclose the use of holding elements with inclined surfaces, or the rectangular opening.

Gullona discloses:

a head part 5, such as a flange, which overlaps a rim of the opening 2 of the thin wall on an outer side (figure 3); a body part 9 which proceeds from the head part 5 and can be pushed through the opening in the thin wall (figure 3); and a holding part (lugs 7) which is carried by the body part 9, supported on another rear side of the thin wall (figure 3), and is separate from the body part 5; said holding part 7 being formed by holding elements (lugs) which project in a resilient manner (via spring 11) from the body part 5 in the direction of its outer surface (figures 3 and 4) and whose free end has a second inclined surface which enables the holding part and the body part to be pushed through the opening, the inclined surface being inclined with respect to a plane of the

Art Unit: 3677

thin wall (figure 3, the lugs 7 are triangularly shaped, so the lugs have two inclined surfaces). Gullong does not disclose two flat metal pieces.

Willimzik discloses:

An expanding latching device similar to that taught by Gullong, having holding elements 12 and 13 are formed by two flat metal pieces lying next to one another (figure 5) with smooth surfaces, each metal piece having a rectangular opening 21, these two openings or breakthroughs together forming a rectangular space (figure 6) which receives a spiral pressure spring 20 by at least a portion of its diameter (figure 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an alternate attachment method as taught by Gullong to attach the hinge as taught by Ramsauer to the thin mounting material 160. Gullong is used to attach a decorative device to a thin piece of metal 1, as shown in figures 3 and 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a thin metal connecting device to connect any article to thin metal, such as that of Ramsauer. This would be similar to using a wood screw to attach something to wood, or a sheetrock screw to attach something to sheetrock. It also would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an alternate locking mechanism as taught by Willimzik in place of the locking mechanism as taught by Gullong. Both have two parts that expand from a central point, both have triangularly shaped extensions, both expand according to the spring between the two parts. Examiner contends that the two lugs 7 and the spring 11 of Gullong would behave exactly the same as the two plates 12 and 13 with the spring 22 as taught by Willimzik.

Regarding claim 31, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 30; wherein two holding elements (12 and 13 as taught by Willimzik) which are

Art Unit: 3677

arranged diametrical to one another are provided and are acted upon by pressure elements such as spring arrangements 22, particularly a coil spring common to the two holding elements or two coil springs, or wedge arrangements such as conical screws (figure 5).

Regarding claim 34, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 30; wherein the holding elements (12 and 13 of Willimzik) are slides which are arranged so as to be displaceable in a channel (21 of figure 5) that lies parallel to the plane of the thin wall (figure 1) and is rectangular in cross section (figure 6) and are held against the force of a pressure spring 20 by a hook arrangement that locks between the slides themselves or in the channel.

Regarding claim 35, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 30; wherein the holding elements (12 and 13 of Willimzik) are slides of rigid material such as metal which are arranged so as to be displaceable in a channel that is parallel to the plane of the thin wall and rectangular in cross section and are held against the force of a pressure spring 20 (figure 1) by a pin arrangement that is arranged between them.

Regarding claim 36, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 34; wherein the channel has a partial dividing wall or undercut 21 or opening edge at which the slides are supported axially by a shoulder or hook (figure 2).

Regarding claim 42, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to claim 30, wherein the holding elements of Willimzik are formed by a metal piece or by two metal pieces lying next to one another which is/are held jointly by a spring in such a

Art Unit: 3677

way that these two or three parts form a manageable unit that is stable in itself. These pieces are formed together in Willimzik (figure 2), and can be used as an attachment pin in another function.

Regarding claim 53, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 30; wherein a second hinge part (112 of Ramsauer) which is swivelably connected to the first hinge part has a construction analogous to that of the first hinge part (figure 1 of Ramsauer).

Regarding claim 54, Ramsauer as modified discloses the hinge according to Claim 30; wherein a second hinge part which is swivelably connected to the first hinge part. Ramsauer as modified does not disclose a second hinge part with differing construction from the first hinge part. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to alter the shape of one leaf of a hinge, a change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). This would be done in order to allow proper mounting to the hinged article, and would be altered as needed, according to available width, height, location of mounting holes, etc...

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the omission of claim 42, examiner has made this action non-final and has included the proper rejection of claim 42.

Art Unit: 3677

Regarding the requirement of "smooth surface", Willimzik discloses this aspect. Examiner contends that Willimzik and Gullong connection methods both utilize slanted surfaces and an elastic material between the springing surfaces in order to attach something. Examiner contends that Willimzik and Gullong would both be known to one of ordinary skill in the art, and both would perform the same function in the same manner, regardless of the surface texture. The combination made by examiner relies on the locking device of Willimzik in the attaching device of Gullong, which attaches the Ramsauer hinge to a thin wall. Because of this, the surface of the Gullong locking device is of no relevance. Since the locking device of Willimzik and Gullong are very similar and perform much in the same manner, examiner considers these equivalent assemblies and would be applicable in the use of the other.

Applicant contends that Willimzik does not disclose an inclined surface.

Examiner contends this is so, see the cover.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMILY MORGAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3650. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Will can be reached on (571)272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas B Will/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3671

Emm /EMM/